Case 1:12-cr-00963-WHP Document 19 Filed 04/18/13 Page 1 of 5

D3MJFRUC Conference UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 12 Cr. 963 WHP V. 5 DORIAN FRUNGILLO and JENNIFER SALABARIETTA-AGUERO, 6 Defendants. 7 ----X 8 9 10 March 22, 2013 12:07 p.m. 11 12 13 Before: 14 HON. WILLIAM H. PAULEY III, 15 District Judge 16 17 **APPEARANCES** 18 PREET BHARARA, 19 United States Attorney for the 20 Southern District of New York ELISHA KOBRE, 21 Assistant United States Attorney 22 AARON J. MYSLIWIEC, Attorney for defendant Frungillo 23 DONALD duBOULAY, 24 Attorney for defendant Salabarietta-Aguero 25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In open court)

2 (Case called)

THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated. I note the presence of Mr. Frungillo at counsel table. Are you standing in for Mr. Dratel?

MR. MYSLIWIEC: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Frungillo, do you consent to having Mr. Mysliwiec stand in for your attorney today at this proceeding?

DEFENDANT FRUNGILLO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. Thank you I note the presence of Ms. Salabarietta-Aguero at counsel table.

Mr. Kobre, what is the status of this matter?

MR. KOBRE: The government has produced discovery in this case. The government has also began discussing with both defendants potential disposition of the case, and based on my conversations with both attorneys for the defendants, I think what we would like to ask for is a motion schedule, but somewhat delayed to allow for some continuing discussions about a potential disposition. The defense counsel can obviously correct me on that.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mysliwiec?

MR. MYSLIWIEC: That's correct, your Honor.

I think our conversations are significant and entered into with an intent to do some real work on these conversations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the next couple of weeks, so that if it turns out that they break down, then we would file the motions, but on the front of this, they look promising.

THE COURT: What motions would you be filing?

MR. MYSLIWIEC: We probably would file some motions relating to how Mr. Frungillo was arrested in this case. was essentially taken from Panama. We are still doing some research on the kinds of issues related to the process of the There may be some other things regarding the indictment that we would file.

We have looked through most of the discovery at this I don't think that a suppression motion will be on normal sorts of things like statements would be part of it, but there might be some other kinds of motions.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. duBoulay?

MR. duBOULAY: I concur, that is exactly right, we are proceeding along apace with negotiations to resolve the case.

THE COURT: Are you in agreement with a delayed motion schedule?

MR. duBOULAY: Yes, your Honor, absolutely.

THE COURT: Here's what I will do then.

Since the situation sounds like it is somewhat fluid, I'll give you some time to try to bring your discussions to fruition, but if that is not to be, then I think by April 26th 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the parties should file any motions that they wish to file in the case. Is that a schedule that you can live with?

MR. MYSLIWIEC: Just because of the holidays that are coming up, if we can have some date in the first week of May?

THE COURT: May 10.

MR. MYSLIWIEC: Thank vou.

THE COURT: May 10 for the filing of any motions. May 22 for any opposition by the government. May 29 for any reply. I'll set the matter down for a conference on June 7th, at 2:30.

If you reach an agreement regarding the matter in the next several weeks, please notify my Deputy so that I can use the time on June 7th for another proceeding.

What are the defendants' views concerning the exclusion of time between now and June 7, 2013?

MR. MYSLIWIEC: Mr. Frungillo consents to the exclusion of time, your Honor.

MR. duBOULAY: As does Ms. Salabarietta-Aguero.

THE COURT: Since this continuance is due to ongoing good-faith negotiations between the parties and the government and the defendants' announced intentions to make certain pretrial motions, I prospectively exclude the time from today until June 7, 2013 from Speedy Trial Act calculations.

I find that this continuance serves to ensure the effective assistance of counsel and prevents any miscarriage of justice. Additionally, I find that the ends of justice served

Case 1:12-cr-00963-WHP Document 19 Filed 04/18/13 Page 5 of 5

D3MJFRUC

Conference

by such a continuance outweighs the best interests of the public and each of these defendants in a speedy trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 3161.

Are there any other matters that counsel wish to raise this afternoon?

MR. KOBRE: Not from the government.

MR. MYSLIWIEC: No, your Honor.

MR. duBOULAY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you for coming in. Have a good weekend.

(Court adjourned)